## RAVENNA PARK-CREEK DAY-LIGHTING

**COMMUNITY MEETING #1** 

March 14, 2002 6:30 - 8:30 pm

#### **MINUTES**

## Agenda

- >Welcome and introduction by Virginia Hassinger, Sr. Capital Project Coordinator, Seattle Parks and Recreation
- Introductions of City staff present, roles different people played, and ground rules to assist with the deliberations-Milenko Matanovic, facilitator
- ➤ Goals of Workshop #1 were to:
  - Explain Context-Background & Site
  - ➤ Receive Input
  - ➤ Recruit Future Involvement
- **≻**Context
  - •Site: Peggy Gaynor, Katie Walter, and Ken Nilsen
    - -Wetlands
    - -Soil, Light
    - -Ball Fields
    - -Stream
    - -Street Connection
    - -Amenities
  - Preliminary Design Options: Peggy
  - •Planning Process: Peggy and Milenko
  - •Background-history of the Park and its watershed Virginia Hassinger
  - •Questions & Answers to clarify Context issues
- ➤ Input: Milenko asked each participant for ideas, solutions and concerns
- Next Steps: review of future meetings

## Ideas, Solutions and Concerns as identified by all participants:

(transcribed from notes written during workshop on 2 flip charts)

#### **Wetlands and Habitat**

- •Use funds to restore existing wetlands by existing bridge
- •Incorporate enhancement at wetlands near ex. bridge; address safety issues & built in educational opportunities
- •Keep existing channel, if abandoned, for habitat
- •Conduct an inventory of park-its birds, plants, etc.; has this been done?
- •Control invasive vegetation and degradation of habitat
- •Leave park feeling wild
- •Incorporate wetland-habitat and safety

#### **Athletic Fields**

- •Soccer field layout-stay off baseball infield if possible
- •Soccer field overlaps baseball outfield-baseball fences are a problem
- •Consider using portable fences to allow for both baseball and soccer
- •Move ball field for full stream enhancement
- Have baseball facing south
- •Little League excited about reopening of field several years ago but a better entrance is needed
- •Soccer turns baseball infield into mud
- •Soccer fields are badly needed in the city; the loss of one field is not an option
- •Accommodate playfields somewhere else in park; consider other areas in park for soccer
- •Turn ball-fields so the outfield faces NW 55th Street
- •Cowen Park is too small and wet for fields
- •Cut slope to west and fill fields to gain more field area

## **Design recommendations**

- •Have "wild" feeling in park; keep trails "wild" looking
- •Open entrance to park from street; games, when seen from the street, are inviting and encourage safety
- •Have dramatic stream-oriented entrance near the road, 55th
- •"Field is so hidden" from 55<sup>th</sup>-more presence
- •Footbridges over creek
- •Bring atmosphere
- •Have a more complex channel at upper reach
- •Provide vantage/access points into the stream
- •Use existing knolls to provide creek channel diversity
- •Keep creek to east (soccer rep and others)
- •Wide path for joggers and two-way; barriers along path to keep dogs out of stream

## Stream slope

- Have change in slope angles
- •Keep wider channel-slopes only, no walls-lead to diverse fauna (wider floodplain)
- Boardwalk access to the stream
- •Keep gentle channel slopes
- •Insure slope stability
- •Vary stream profiles and sections

#### **Amenities**

- \*Need open vista into site from 55<sup>th</sup>
- \*Combine daylighting with new entrance from 55<sup>th</sup>

- •"Riverbed entrance"
- •Do more interpretive signage
- •Natural organic design at entrance to pipe (55<sup>th</sup>)
- •Have art extend upstream and downstream-holistic

#### Creek

- •Increase capacity of channel by extending improvements up to Cowen Park
- •Is onsite detention possible?
- •Make sure the creek functions when flows increase to 5 cfs
- •Box culvert must be able to handle high flows
- •Entrance controls and organizes path to stream and fields; entrance consists of stream, paths and fields
- •Limited access to creek with more active use and accessible at downstream end (55<sup>th</sup>)
- •Public safety-maintain open area; limit vegetation
- •5 cfs has to be respected-divert access; catch water upstream
- •Water flow was 3.5 cfs on 3/12, after rains
- •Do not fill existing creek bed (if abandon)
- •More complex channel through the gulch; more ravine-like lower
- •Raise stream up-make wider
- •Raise fields- make creek deeper
- •Create marshy areas; help limit access to creek
- •Keep stream as close to east side of field as possible

### Trail

- •Trail-not wide enough; need wide trail in places
- Put barrier between path and creek to discourage creek access by people and dogs
- •Entrance directs to paths; clear direction of foot traffic to along creek and to fields
- •Opportunity at lower end: points where can access "harder system" or a more urban creek section with harder edges and elements, available for lots of use and access by kids and dogs
- •Provide special access to the creek with boardwalks
- •Walk into creek and wetlands on stones
- •Path made out of more "wild" material; not paved

## Overall design

- •Clarify construction estimate
- •Utilize areas of existing knolls for stream diversity and overlooks
- •Artwork should reach from the community into park-"holistic art"
- •Protect native vegetation-take care of invasives with project
- •Pay attention to safety-open area vs. darker

# Coordination w/ other projects

- •Art project at Green Lake/Roosevelt P&R Lot an opportunity to coordinate with that project
- •Work with ongoing student projects and other volunteer efforts in park

Post suggestions on RCA website: home.earthlink.net/~ravennacreek

For more information on the project go to: www.ci.seattle.wa.us